

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
aress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.iisnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,686	01/22/2004	Hans-Peter Foser	IVd14US	5258	
7:	590 08/24/2006		EXAM	INER	
John C. Thom			KILKENNY, PATRICK J		
69 Grayton Roa Tonawanda, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10, 1		3732			
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/762,686	FOSER, HANS-PET	ER
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Patrick J. Kilkenny	3732	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>ıne</u> 2006.		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the r	nerits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,4-8 and 12 is/are pending in the app	olication.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,4-8 and 12 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers		,	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
	The drawing(s) filed on 6/9/2006 is/are: a) a		ne Examiner.	
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.121(d).
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	)-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
• -	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			4
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ed in this National 5	tage
* 0	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ','	2d	
	see the attached detailed office action for a list	o. The continue copies not receive		
Attachmen	it(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F		152)
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		- <b>,</b>

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because of the lack of definition between the various claimed structural limitations. Because of the small scale and the lack of clearly defined borders between limitations such as, the base structure, the overstructure, the bite element, and the interconnecting material, it is difficult to distinguish on claimed limitation from the other. It appears that all claimed matter is present in the drawings, however the drawings do not adequately illustrate these structures relative to each other.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Art Unit: 3732

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Braiman (5,346,397). Braiman discloses a dental restoration piece comprising a base structure (Fig. 2, #13) made of a metal frame (Column 3, lines 48-52) and an overstructure that covers the base structure (Fig. 2, #10). The overstructure has a hardened bite element that represents the distal point of the overstruture (Fig. 2). The bite element is of a combination of plastic elements and a ceramic such as porcelain and is light hardenable (Colun 3, lines 55-67). There is also an interconnecting material that is applied to the exterior of the base structure and connects it to the hardened bite element (Fig. 2, #14). This material is a ceramic paste (Column3, Lines 48-52). Braiman also discloses an embodiment with the structural limitations set forth above that is specially configured for a molar which consist of more than one bite elements (distal points) making up the bite surface (Fig. 3). The methods of claim 12 are rejected

based on the above disclosure of Braiman represented in Fig. 2 and based on the previously referenced Column 3, lines 48-52.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/9/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the Braiman patent, reference numeral shell does indicate and "overstructure" with respect to the metal base structure (13). Since the distal tip of the overstructure is the point of occlusal contact, it can be interpreted as a "bite element" and the above rejection and disclosure of Braiman indicates that the material composition of the overstructure, and hence the bite element, is hardened.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,686 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J. Kilkenny whose telephone number is (571) 272-8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrick J. Kilkenny August 21, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER